Application No. Applicant(s) 10/797.867 CHEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2894 Monica Lewis All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Monica Lewis. (2) Daniel R. McClure. (4)____. Date of Interview: 07 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: n/a. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner asked where support could be found for "a first pattern comprising at least one line segment isolated from the bus electrode." Daniel McClure disclosed that support could be found in the specification on page 5 lines 25-28, page 6 lines 21-23 and figure 2A. Finally, Daniel McClure agreed to the Examiners Amendment on 8/14/08. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Monica Lewis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2894